From: Peter Oakford, Cabinet Member for Specialist

Children's Services

Andrew Ireland, Corporate Director of Social Care,

Health and Wellbeing

To Children's Social Care and Health Cabinet

Committee - 23 March 2017

Subject: RISK MANAGEMENT

Classification: Unrestricted

Electoral Divisions: All

Previous Pathway of Paper: None

Future Pathway of Paper: None

Summary: This paper presents the strategic risks relating to the Specialist Children's Services Division of the Social Care Health and Wellbeing Directorate.

Recommendation(s): The Children's Social Care and Health Cabinet Committee is asked to **CONSIDER** and **COMMENT** on the risks presented in the Directorate Risk Register.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The draft Directorate Business Plan is reported separately to this Cabinet Committee as part of the authority's business planning process. The plan includes a high level section relating to key Directorate risks, which are set out in more detail in this paper.
- 1.2 Risk management is a key element of the council's Internal Control Framework and the requirement to maintain risk registers ensures that potential risks that may prevent the authority from achieving its objectives are identified and controlled. The process of developing the registers is therefore important in underpinning business planning, performance management and service procedures. Risks outlined in risk registers are taken into account in the development of the Internal Audit programme for the year.
- 1.3 The Social Care, Health and Wellbeing Directorate Risk Register is reported to the Cabinet Committee annually. It contains strategic or cross-cutting risks that potentially affect several functions across the Directorate, and often have wider potential interdependencies with other services across the Council and external parties.

1.4 The Directorate's "red risks" are also logged in the council's Corporate Risk Register. The Corporate Risk Register was reported to the Policy and Resources Cabinet Committee on 8 March 2017.

2 Risks relating to the Social Care, Health and Wellbeing Directorate

- 2.1 It continues to be a time of significant risk for the Social Care, Health and Wellbeing Directorate. Specific concerns include the on-going financial pressures affecting the Directorate; the fragility of the wider social care market and the need to manage capacity and demand particularly during the winter pressures where health trusts are under particular pressure which impacts on social care. At the same time the Directorate continues to transform services and to meet statutory duties such as safeguarding vulnerable adults and children. The risks relating to the number of Unaccompanied Asylum Seeker Children (UASC) arriving in Kent has reduced however there continues to be a significant risk regarding the resource pressure in meeting the needs of UASC children and young people in Kent particularly the needs of care leavers.
- 2.2 The forthcoming structural changes to the Directorates in Kent County Council will bring opportunities but also some risks which will need to be managed. Any major change programme will have risks associated with the change process itself to ensure there are no gaps when responsibilities are transferred. A coproduction approach is being adopted to manage the changes.
- 2.3 There are several risks specific to Specialist Children's Services that are currently within the Social Care Health and Wellbeing Risk Register. With the forthcoming changes, these risks, for example Safeguarding, OFSTED preparedness and the capacity to meet the needs of Unaccompanied Asylum Seeker Children in Kent, will need to be included in a new Risk Register for the Children, Young People and Education Directorate.
- 2.4 One potential risk is the need to ensure that the commissioning activity retains close links with the social work assessment and care planning functions. The social care market needs to be sufficiently sustainable and flexible to meet the individual needs of vulnerable children assessed as requiring care and support. As stated in the report to the County Council on 26 January 2017, regarding the Directorate and Commissioning structures, "there is a need for the professional commissioning function to work collaboratively and seamlessly with the services"
- 2.5 The Social Care, Health and Wellbeing Risk Register is attached in Appendix 1, however a summary risk profile as at end of February 2017 is as follows:

Risk No.	Risk Title	Current	Target
KISK INU.	KISK TILLE	Risk	Risk
		Rating	Rating
SCHW 01	Transformation of adult social care	20	9
30110001	services	20	9
SCHW 02	Transformation of children's services	9	6
		16	9
SCHW	Safeguarding – protecting vulnerable	16	9
03a	children	0.5	4.5
SCHW	Safeguarding – protecting vulnerable	25	15
03b	adults		
SCHW 04	Austerity and pressures on public sector funding	25	16
SCHW 05	Working with health, integration,	16	9
	Pioneer, STP (Sustainability and		
	Transformation Plans) and BCF (Better		
	Care Fund)		
SCHW 07	Increasing demand for social care	20	16
	services		
SCHW 08	Managing and working with the social	25	9
	care market		
SCHW 09	Information and communication	12	6
	technology		
SCHW 10	Information governance	9	6
SCHW 11	Business disruption	9	9
SCHW 12	KCC/KMPT partnership agreement	9	6
SCHW 15	Mental Capacity Act and Deprivation of	20	8
	Liberty Assessments		
SCHW 17	OFSTED preparedness and service	12	8
	improvement		
SCHW 19	Capacity to support and accommodate	20	12
	the number of UASC under Leaving		
	Care regulations		
SCHW 20	Prevent	12	4
SCHW 21	Facilities Management	16	4

- 2.6 A standard reporting format is used to facilitate the gathering of consistent risk information and a 5x5 matrix is used to rank the scale of risk in terms of likelihood of occurrence and impact. Firstly the current level of risk is assessed, taking into account any controls already in place to mitigate the risk. If the current level of risk is deemed unacceptable, a 'target' risk level is set and further mitigating actions introduced with the aim of reducing the risk to a tolerable and realistic level. If the current level of risk is acceptable, the target risk level will match the current rating.
- 2.7 The numeric score in itself is less significant than its importance in enabling categorisation of risks and prioritisation of any management action. Further information on the council's risk management methodologies can be found in the risk management guide on the 'KNet' intranet site.

- 2.8 The risk registers should be regarded as 'living' documents to reflect the dynamic nature of risk management. The Directorate Management Team formally reviews the risk registers, including progress against mitigating actions, on a quarterly basis, although individual risks can be identified and added to the register at any time.
- 2.9 In addition to the Directorate Risk Register there is also a Risk Register for each Division. The Specialist Children's Service Risk Register also contains the following risks:
 - Failure to ensure consistency of practice
 - Difficulty in recruiting and retaining experienced social worker
 - Kent Safeguarding Children Board effectiveness and challenge
 - Protect children at risk of going missing and child sexual exploitation.
- 2.10 The Specialist Children's Service risks are reviewed by the Divisional Management Team and any high level risks are escalated to the Directorate Risk Register.

3. Recommendation

3.1 Recommendation: The Children's Social Care and Health Cabinet Committee is asked to **CONSIDER** and **COMMENT** on the risks presented in the Directorate Risk Register.

4 Background Documents

4.1 KCC Risk Management Policy on KNet intranet site.

5 Contact details

Report Author
Anthony Mort
03000 415424
Anthony.mort@kent.gov.uk

Lead Director

Philip Segurola
Director, Specialist Children's Services
03000 413120
Philip.segurola@kent.gov.uk